

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

JUA-DENO PETERSON, JR., #30082510, PLAINTIFF,	§ § § § § § § §	§ CIVIL CASE No. 3:24-CV-453-X-BK
V. FCI SEAGOVILLE, ET AL., DEFENDANTS.		

**FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)](#) and *Special Order 3*, this *pro se* case was referred to the United States magistrate judge for case management, including the issuance of findings and a recommended disposition when appropriate. As detailed below, this action should be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to comply with a court order.


It has come to the Court's attention that Plaintiff is no longer confined at FCI Seagoville or in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons (BOP).¹ However, Plaintiff has failed to inform the Court of his current address. On February 28, 2024, shortly after the complaint was filed, the Court notified Plaintiff of the requirement to keep the Court informed of any change of address or this case might be dismissed. *See* Doc. 5, *Electronic Notice of Judicial Screening and Order* (notifying plaintiffs of the responsibility to inform the Court of any change of address and that failure to do so may result in the dismissal of the case for failure to prosecute or follow a court order); *see also* Doc. 2 (same warning in the *Notice and Instruction to Pro Se Party*).

¹ The BOP inmate locator is available at <https://www.bop.gov/inmateloc/> (last accessed on Apr. 12, 2024).

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows a court to dismiss an action *sua sponte* for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with the federal rules or any court order. *Larson v. Scott*, 157 F.3d 1030, 1031 (5th Cir. 1998). “This authority flows from the court’s inherent power to control its docket and prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases.” *Boudwin v. Graystone Ins. Co., Ltd.*, 756 F.2d 399, 401 (5th Cir. 1985) (citing *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962)).

Again, Plaintiff has failed to keep the Court apprised of his current address as required by the Court’s February 28, 2024 order, which necessarily impedes the progress of this litigation. Consequently, this action should be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to comply with a court order and for lack of prosecution. See *FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b)* (an involuntary dismissal “operates as an adjudication on the merits,” unless otherwise specified).

SO RECOMMENDED on April 15, 2024.



RENEE HARRIS TOLIVER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of this report and recommendation will be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. See *28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)*; *FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)*. An objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996), *modified by statute*, *28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)* (extending the time to object to 14 days).